



**Faculty of BEs and Entrepreneurship**  
**Belgrade, Serbia**  
[www.vspep.edu.rs](http://www.vspep.edu.rs)

INTERNATIONAL REVIEW

**Chair of the Editorial Board**  
**Prof. Dr Mirjana Radovic Markovic**  
**E-mail: [mradovic@gmail.com](mailto:mradovic@gmail.com)**

**Reviewer's report**

**Title:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Paper number:** \_\_\_\_\_

Please circle one answer for each of the following questions and the overall recommendation.

| General Judgment  | Very false | False | True enough | True | Very true |
|---|------------|-------|-------------|------|-----------|
| 1. The author is familiar with the existing state of knowledge.   | 1          | 2     | 3           | 4    | 5         |
| 2. The topic is relevant to the scope of the Journal.   | 1          | 2     | 3           | 4    | 5         |
| 3. This is a new and original contribution.   | 1          | 2     | 3           | 4    | 5         |
| 4. The paper makes a valuable contribution to the theory and practice of female entrepreneurship and education. | 1          | 2     | 3           | 4    | 5         |
| 5. The title is appropriate.  | 1          | 2     | 3           | 4    | 5         |
| 6. The abstract and keywords are adequate.  | 1          | 2     | 3           | 4    | 5         |
| 7. The paper is logically and technically correct.  | 1          | 2     | 3           | 4    | 5         |
| 8. The interpretations and conclusions are sound and justified by the results.                                  | 1          | 2     | 3           | 4    | 5         |
| 9. The paper is well presented and organized.   | 1          | 2     | 3           | 4    | 5         |
| 10. The writing style / English is clear and understandable.  | 1          | 2     | 3           | 4    | 5         |
| 11. The paper is of the right length. If not, please explain:.....  | 1          | 2     | 3           | 4    | 5         |
| 12. The references are adequate.  | 1          | 2     | 3           | 4    | 5         |

13. Rating of this paper in comparison to similar papers published in top-rated scientific journals:

|  |                                     |  |                                  |  |                                  |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Below publ. level | <input type="checkbox"/> Bottom 20% | <input type="checkbox"/> Below average | <input type="checkbox"/> Average | <input type="checkbox"/> Above average | <input type="checkbox"/> Top 20% |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|

**Overall Recommendation**

- 4 Acceptable without any changes
- 3 Acceptable with minor modifications
- 2 Major revisions: needs to be re-written and re-evaluated
- 1 Unacceptable

14. I consider necessary the revised version of the paper to be sent back to me for the approval of the corrections.

- Yes
- No

Please give detailed comments on a separate sheet to justify your general judgment and recommendations for the author(s).